

# Goal of the presentation

From panel data acquisition to insights on digital consumption: Data needs/difficulties to deliver insights







# . . **Panel** . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . .

# Panelists willing to participate in an online survey give away digital consumption Points as incentives (online shop) Recruitment social media mailing lists MGM MGM

Overview on data acquired



What do we know about panelists?

Sociodemographics

**Reported device usage** 

Age, gender, region, educational level, working sector, number of kids...

Device types panelists report to use to access Internet

Digital passive measurement

Panel meter technology – digital consumption Desktop, Smartphone and Tablet Use of survey data - examples

What can we learn from digital surveys? Which online site do would you visit if you were to buy a new pair of sneakers?

Target: female - aged 30-45

Which party will you vote in the next country elections? Target: overall population

How many cans of soft drinks do you consume per week?

Target: population aged 15-35



Use of meter data - examples



Reach share of online sites which are visited to buy a pair of sneakers (\*) Target: female – aged 30-45

Most read online newspaper

Target: aged 65+

List of top 20 gaming apps Target: population aged 15-35





· · · ·

. . . . . . .

#### Valid mass selection of usable panelists

Imputation completeness of panel data

Weighting tackling bias . . .

. . . . .

. . . . . .

. . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Completion of the panel





Machine Learning algorithm to replace missing values with substitute ones

Why do we impute?

Make the most of panel data

Address underestimation of KPIs

Panelists may not be willing to give away some information, and are not forced to install the panel meter on all the devices they use

Completion of the panel



#### Imputation

Issue most commonly addressed:

imputation over missing variables (e.g. household income)

Examples of underestimation or bias when using digital consumption:

- Dropping panelists with gaps in measurement could lead to a systematic error
  - □ If these panelists have a specific profile, we will be biasing the panel in that direction
  - □ Lower coverage
- Keeping only the tracked data can also lead to systematic errors
  - □ If people tend not to meter their tablets, usage on tablet would be underestimated
  - □ If people meter only their PC but have most usage on smartphone, their usage would be underestimated

Completion of the panel



#### Imputation

#### Imputation as a **2-step process**:

sociodemographic and questionnaire data

devices which are reported to be used



Completion of the panel



#### Imputation

#### Imputation as a **2-step process**:

sociodemographic and questionnaire data devices which are reported to be used

In the first step, we make sure there are no missings over: reported usage of devices drivers to choose donor-recipient pairs

Completion of the panel



#### Imputation

There will always be measurement gaps Measurable device

There is traffic we will **never be able to track** public devices not owned professional use

Reported device usage must only cover when a device is measurable

No imputation base for unmeasurable devices

Tackling bias



#### Weighting

Each panelist has a weight so that weighting constraints are achieved

In one of our projects, weighting dimensions are share of gender share of age groups share of region share of device type usage

Tackling bias



#### In one of our projects, weighting dimensions are

share of gender

share of age groups

share of region

share of device type usage



We need these variables to be informed...

#### Weighting

Tackling bias



If we seek for insights assuming our panel is representative of a country...

The size of the panel is way smaller than the overall population

#### Weights can be seen as a bias correction factor multiplied by an extrapolation factor



#### Weighting

Selecting the usable panelists



#### Valid mass

Selection of panelists who have minimum data to be able to process them... Imputation

Informed age, gender, region, household size to impute remaining hooks At least one validly tracked device

#### Weighting

Accepted tolerance for reported device usage (imputed)

A validly tracked device must have Minimum traffic per month

Difficulty in finding correlation reported frequency of use and actual frequency of use



# Reporting . .

. . . . . . .

. . . . . . .

#### Several KPIs to measure our interests

reach pageviews duration sessions purchases...

· · · · ·

. . . . .

. . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

# **Reporting tool**

Data-driven insights



#### Reach

weighted number of panelists

Pageviews

weighted number of observations

#### Purchases

weighted number of purchase URLs among others...

In combination with targeting over sociodemographic groups





# Takeaways

Passive measurement for better understanding of digital consumption VS top-of-mind from surveys

Use of digital surveys may serve not just for answering specific questions on market-related matters, but also to adjust a panel

Measurable device and a validly tracked device concepts to understand tracking coverage

Digital metering data can be used to learn about digital consumption for a specific target via a sufficiently solid method (tackling over/under-estimation errors and potential biases)

Need for a **definition of minimum data requirements** to achieve a solid method



# Thank you! Questions?

- . . . . . . . . . . . .
- . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .