

# A Lower Bound on the Error Exponent of Random Gilbert-Varshamov Codes

Anelia Somekh-Baruch  
Bar-Ilan University  
somekha@biu.ac.il

Jonathan Scarlett  
National University of Singapore  
scarlett@comp.nus.edu.sg

Albert Guillén i Fàbregas  
ICREA & Universitat Pompeu Fabra  
University of Cambridge  
guillen@ieee.org

We consider transmission over a discrete memoryless channel (DMC)  $W(y|x)$  with finite alphabets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We consider an  $(n, M_n)$ -codebook  $\mathcal{M}_n = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{M_n}\}$  with rate  $R_n = \frac{1}{n} \log M_n$ . The type-dependent maximum-metric decoder estimates the transmitted message as

$$\hat{m} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{M}_n} q(\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$  is the joint empirical distribution [1, Ch. 2] of the pair  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  and the metric  $q: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Maximum-likelihood (ML) decoding is a special case of (1), but the decoder may in general be *mismatched* [2], [3].

We construct the code  $\mathcal{M}_n$  such that any two distinct codewords  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{M}_n$  satisfy  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') > \Delta$  for a given distance function  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . This guarantees that the minimum distance of the codebook exceeds  $\Delta$ . Similar constructions are used to prove the Gilbert-Varshamov bound in Hamming spaces [4], [5]. Our construction depends on an input distribution  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ , and we let  $P_n$  denote an arbitrary type [1, Ch. 2] whose entries are  $\frac{1}{n}$ -close to  $P$ . The set of sequences with type  $P_n$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}(P_n)$ .

Fixing  $n, M_n$ , an input distribution  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ , a distance function  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and constants  $\delta > 0, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the construction is described by the following steps:

- 1) The first codeword,  $\mathbf{x}_1$ , is drawn uniformly over  $\mathcal{T}_1(P_n)$ , given by  $\mathcal{T}_1(P_n) = \mathcal{T}(P_n)$ ;
- 2) The second codeword  $\mathbf{x}_2$  is uniformly drawn from

$$\mathcal{T}_2(P_n, \mathbf{x}_1) = \{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{T}(P_n) : d(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}_1) > \Delta\} \quad (2)$$

the set of sequences of composition  $P_n$  whose distance to  $\mathbf{x}_1$  exceeds  $\Delta$ ;

- 3) The  $i$ -th codeword  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is drawn uniformly from

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{T}_i(P_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}) \\ &= \{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{T}(P_n) : d(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}_j) > \Delta, j = 1, \dots, i-1\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In order to ensure that the above procedure generates the desired number of codewords  $M_n = e^{nR_n}$  (i.e., the sets  $\mathcal{T}_i$  are non-empty for  $i = 1, \dots, M_n$ ), set  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$e^{n(R_n + \delta)} \text{vol}_{\mathbf{x}}(\Delta) \leq |\mathcal{T}(P_n)| \quad (4)$$

This work was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation under grant 631/17, the European Research Council under Grant 725411, and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under Grant TEC2016-78434-C3-1-R.

where  $\text{vol}_{\mathbf{x}}(\Delta) = |\{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{T}(P_n) : d(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}) \leq \Delta\}|$  is the volume of a ball of radius  $\Delta$  according to distance  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  centered at  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{T}(P_n)$ . If the distance  $d$  is symmetric and type-dependent,  $\text{vol}_{\mathbf{x}}(\Delta)$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{T}(P_n)$ .

Our main result is as follows, namely, a single-letter lower bound for the error exponent of the RGV construction.

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ , type-dependent distance function  $d$ , and  $R > 0$  satisfying*

$$R \leq \min_{P_{X\tilde{X}} : d(P_{X\tilde{X}}) \leq \Delta, P_X = P_{\tilde{X}} = P} I(X; \tilde{X}) - 3\delta, \quad (5)$$

the RGV construction with parameters  $(n, R, P, d, \Delta, \delta)$  and decoding metric  $q(\cdot)$  over the DMC  $W$  achieves the following error exponent

$$E_{\text{RGV}}(R, P, W, q, d, \Delta) = \min_{V \in \mathcal{T}_{d,q,P}(\Delta)} D(V_{Y|X} \| W|P) + |I(\tilde{X}; Y, X) - R|_+, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{d,q,P}(\Delta) \triangleq \left\{ V_{X\tilde{X}Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) : V_X = V_{\tilde{X}} = P, \right. \\ \left. q(V_{\tilde{X}Y}) \geq q(V_{XY}), d(P_{X\tilde{X}}) \geq \Delta \right\}. \quad (7)$$

The following corollary shows that when the distance function  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is optimized, and  $\Delta$  is chosen appropriately, the exponent in Theorem 1 recovers the exponent of [6], denoted by  $E_q(R, P, W)$ , known to be at least as large as the maximum of the random-coding and expurgated exponents.

**Corollary 1.** *Setting  $d(P_{X\tilde{X}}) = -I(X; \tilde{X})$ ,  $\Delta = -(R + 3\delta)$  gives that for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$*

$$E_{\text{RGV}}(R, P, W, q, d, \Delta) \geq E_q(R, P, W) - \epsilon. \quad (8)$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Csiszár and J. Körner, *Information theory: coding theorems for discrete memoryless systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [2] N. Merhav, G. Kaplan, A. Lapidoth, and S. Shamai (Shitz), "On information rates for mismatched decoders," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1953–1967, Nov. 1994.
- [3] I. Csiszár and P. Narayan, "Channel capacity for a given decoding metric," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 35–43, Jan. 1995.
- [4] E. N. Gilbert, "A comparison of signalling alphabets," *Bell Labs Tech. J.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 504–522, 1952.
- [5] R. R. Varshamov, "Estimate of the number of signals in error correcting codes," in *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, vol. 117, no. 5, 1957, pp. 739–741.
- [6] I. Csiszár and J. Körner, "Graph decomposition: A new key to coding theorems," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 5–12, 1981.